S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
1.	Risk Purchase Provision under clause P(v) of the RFP on Pg no. 19	Request for waiver considering Engineering Scope of Work selection based on QCBS This looks quite onerous considering there is no specification of the amount of delay that would trigger this action. Kindly arrange to waive-off or clarify.	No change is envisaged.
		For better contract management	
2.	Clause 5.8 of the GCC	A "Limitation of Liability" clause as per the below format is suggested under clause 5.8 of the GCC, [Note to BU- The limitation of liability of the consultant should not be more than one time the contract price. For better contract management	The clause is amply clear. Liability of the Consultant shall be limited to the Awarded Contract Value.
3.	Clauses to be added in contract:	Consultant to have rights to terminate the agreement under clause 10 of the GCC in the following instance: a. If the client (PFCL) fails to make the payment within thirty (30) days from the date of the invoice AND b. If the client (PFCL) becomes insolvent, is placed into administration, receivership,or liquidation, commences proceedings to be wound up, enters into any voluntary arrangement with its creditors, or on the happening of any similar event according to the laws of its domicile. For better contract management	The provisions of e-RfP document are amply clear, No change is envisaged.
4.	F-Qualification Requirements	Proposed following changes:- 1) Indian Consultant with Power Sector experience of more than 10 years shall submit undertaking by qualified experts/companies as their nominated vendors with relevant consideration of Indian Experience weightage	Please refer Amendment No. 1

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		or 2) In the case of JV/Consortium, the number of member of the JV shall not exceed three (03) numbers This will allow PFC to utilize the experience of Indian Power Sector and will ease out the preparation & submission process	
5.	II-Technical Experience/Requirement	Indian Consultant with Power Sector experience of more than 10 years shall submit undertaking by qualified experts/companies as their nominated vendors with relevant consideration of Indian Experience weightage	Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation and also refer Amendment No. 1.
		This will allow PFC to utilize the experience of Indian Power Sector and will ease out the preparation & submission process.	
		Request to clarify whether inclusion of cable manufacturers are expected to be included in the JV, which will not create the conflict of interest.	
		Inclusion of Cable manufacturers to meet QR will create conflict of interest for supply of cable by same manufactures, later.	
6.	A. TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) / SCOPE OF WORK-vii	Please clarify if this a feasibility report on the manufacturing of the cables or the actual projects as envisaged on page 6 of the e-RFP	1

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
7.	A. TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) / SCOPE OF WORK-xvii	Please clarify if this a recommended minimum assessment or a full assessment to be conducted.	Scope of work is amply clear.
8.	M. CONTRACT AGREEMENT	Please clarify if the expected timeframe for contract award.	Expected time for issuance of LoI is one month from the date of bid submission.
9.	Subject-b)	Close Bid Date & Time - 04.02.2025 11:00 hrs (IST) Request for extension for 3 weeks for bid submission timelines after issuance of requested clarifications	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.
10.	Addl. Clause	Validity of Compensation :- If the project is delayed for reasons not attributable to TCE, Client shall pay an escalation for balance of work For better contract management	As per Clause I (e) of e-RfP document, No escalation is allowed.
11.	Addl. Clause	Change Management / Claims Contract to have clauses for Change management – a) Consultant Work shall start only after written approval by client for additional claim. b) Contract needs to have firm unit prices for manpower for additional work. c) Contract needs to be revisited-if there is substantial change in scope. For better contract management	 a) As per Clause I (e) of e-RfP document, No escalation is allowed. b) Refer reply given at point no. a) above. c) Kindly refer Clause B "Deliverables" in this regard and also refer Amendment No. 1.
12.	Addl. Clause	Risk Purchase limit (%) - to be defined to have a capped limit for risk purchase upto 50% of Contract fee.	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
13.	Addl. Clause	Please arrange to clarify/define the Delay LD rate (%), Delay LD cap (%), Performance LD and Penalties (Other than Delay LD), in case applicable	Please refer Amendment No. 1.
14.	Addl. Clause	Please arrange to clarify/confirm that Limitation of Liability of the Consultant under the Contract shall be limited to 100% of total Awarded Contract Value.	, and the second
15.	Addl. Clause	Revisions/Variations & Deliverables clause- A total of 02 no. of engineering revisions and a total of 01 Set of hard-copy deliverables is considered in Contract Value. Any additions to it for reasons not attributable to TCE shall be charged extra.	The Bidders are required to submit the deliverables mentioned under Clause B for the complete scope of work.
16.	Addl. Clause	Consultant deliverables should be accepted / commented upon within 03 days since Consultant submits the same. In the event of non-confirmation in such stated time, the deliverables shall be deemed accepted & will automatically become eligible for full payment.	1
17.	Addl. Clause	Validity of compensation clause- TCE's compensation under the Agreement is valid till 30/06/2025. If Project gets extended beyond such date for reasons not attributable to TCE, TCE's compensation for balance scope of Project shall be escalated at 10% per annum year on year.	the assignment and no escalation for any reason,
18.	Addl. Clause	"The overall total liability of Consultant arising out of this Agreement for any reason whatsoever including for the conclusively proved faulty engineering Services and for the	· ·

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		delay as stated above, shall be limited to 100% per cent of Consultant's compensation."	
19.	Addl. Clause	An "Additional Services" clause to be inserted in the below format: Any services performed by TCE beyond the agreed scope in the Contract shall be charged extra.	The Bidders are required to submit the deliverables mentioned under Clause B for the complete scope of work.
20.	II-Technical Experience/Requirement	The Bidder must have experience of providing consultancy services for at least one assignment broadly including preparation of feasibility report/techno-commercial report/DPR/Study Report for manufacturing, laying and maintenance of sub-sea power cables across the globe preferably during last Seven (07) Years. Indian Consultant with Power Sector experience of more than 10 years shall submit undertaking by qualified expert companies as their nominated vendors	Please refer to Amendment No 1. Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation.
21.	A(i)	The sub sea topography and Admiralty charts can be made available to the consultant. Assessment of subsea cable demand could come from national planning documents of India/ exiting documentation carried out by MNRE or NIO for offshore wind projects within the region. It can be supplemented by publicly available database. Mapping the seabed and routing in different geographies and regions is an extensive exercise and would be a challenge to be completed within the project timeframe. We believe at the current stage this should be excluded	The Consultant is expected to arrange these details independently as a part of Scope of work.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision	The year-wise demand of subsea cable (in short, medium and long term) in respect of India and also in different geographies or regions based on the mapping of available potential of offshore Wind Power (37 GW by MNRE), shall be carried out based on the available, authentic public domain data. The available studies carried out by MNRE or NIO shall alco be referred and correlated for validation.	
22.	(ii)	We presume that this will be a desktop study based on the secondary data available in public domain. A full-scale business model would be a challenging for the project timeframe. We propose assessment based on high-level Capex. Based on the above assessment, a business model to be developed for the country (considering the existing and ongoing subsea cable projects worldwide). Also, to collate following data: a. High level Capital expenditure. b. Country wise different policies models followed for kick starting the manufacturing of subsea cable (wherever possible). Comparative analysis of the Internal Rate of Return (IRRs) in the Indian context	Scope of work is amply clear.
23.	(iv)	We proposes an engagement with cable manufacturers across the world. However from our previous experience, not all	Scope of work is amply clear.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	•	cable OEMs are responsive or upfront with their manufacturing capacity. We presume that assessment of global manufacturers can be limited to major 2-3 suppliers. However from our previous experience, not all cable OEMs are responsive or upfront with their manufacturing capacity Engagement with major 2-3 global and local manufacturers and their manufacturing capacity for subsea cable system,	
24.	(xxi)	Maximum of 2 weeks may be considered for arranging the meeting with stakeholders. The delay from such agencies in responding /convening the meeting shall be considered extra to the time required This is to keep a timeline for responses from the concerned agencies so that the overall activity can be completed on time.	Scope of work is amply clear.
25.	(xxi)	In the course of study, if there is any interaction is required with any government agencies /other international organizations, PFCC may facilitate such meetings as required It would be preferred to have intervention from PFCC for facilitating the timely response, input and involvement of the government/semi government agencies	Overall responsibility lies with the bidder; however, the support will be facilitated based on the requirements.
26.	(xix)	We presume that the consultant will facilitate the visit and the expenses will be born by respective organizations. If consultant has to bear the expense, please let us know the number of officials expected to join from each organization. We plan to arrange visits to 3 offshore projects maximum. If PFCC plan visits to more than 3, please let us know	Expenses related to air travel and stay will be borne by respective organisation. However, expenses related to visas, local travel & other arrangements (foreign country) related to foreign study will be borne by Consultant for around 10 officials.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		This is to estimate the cost and is aimed at preparing an accurate budget.	
27.	II-Technical Experience/Requirement	The Bidder must have experience of providing consultancy services for at least one assignment broadly including preparation of feasibility report/techno-commercial report/DPR/Study Report for manufacturing, laying and maintenance of sub-sea power cables across the globe preferably during last Seven (07) Years. Indian Consultant with Power Sector experience of more than	Please refer to Amendment No. 1 Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation.
		10 years shall submit undertaking by qualified expert companies as their nominated vendors	
28.	A(i)	i): Assessment of subsea cable demand could come from national planning documents of India and within the region supplemented by publicly available database, mapping the seabed and routing is an extensive exercise and would be a challenge to be completed within the project timeframe. We believe at the current stage this should be excluded of India and within the region	Scope of work is amply clear.
		Assessment of subsea cable demand could come from national planning documents of India/ exiting documentation carried out by MNRE or NIO for offshore wind projects within the region. It can be supplemented by publicly available database. Mapping the seabed and routing in different geographies and regions is an extensive exercise and would be a challenge to be completed within the project timeframe. We believe at the current stage this should be excluded	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision	The year-wise demand of subsea cable (in short, medium and long term) in respect of India and also in different geographies or regions based on the mapping of available potential of offshore Wind Power (37 GW by MNRE), shall be carried out based on the available, authentic public domain data. The available studies carried out by MNRE or NIO shall alco be referred and correlated for validation.	
29.	Form 6 -, 1 & 2	Please clarify whether experience in laying and manufacturing cable required, if this is a feasibility study To ensure the correct team is implemented	As per Form-6, experience in laying, manufacturing and maintenance of sub-marine (subsea) power cable is required. The lead agency may collaborate to form the consortium of the relevant organisations.
30.	P -19	There is no cap on the liability for a third party to correct any defective deliverables. Please arrange to confirm For company protection Suggested Modifications A capping limit to be confirmed	Provisions of e-RfP documents are amply clear in this regard.
31.	P x.b 20	This is an extremely broad indemnity. Request to clarify or narrow down, reasonably For company protection Suggested Modifications A narrowed indemnity to be provided	Provisions of e-RfP documents are amply clear in this regard.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
32.	P x.b 20	here is no overall cap on liability, nor is there a waiver of indirect / consequential damages	Provisions of e-RfP documents are amply clear in this regard.
		For company protection Suggested Modifications	
		A capping limit to be confirmed	
33.	Addl. Clause	There is no language that PFC is solely responsible for their actions based on the report. We'll create the report based on generally accepted practices, but we cannot bear any risks for PFC's subsea actions based on the report. For company protection Suggested Modifications Please confirm that the consortium will not bear risks from the actions taken by PFC based on the	No change is envisaged.
		Report	
34.	Addl. Clause	There is no protection for any IP of the consortium members. Please clarify that Consortium's IP and improvements thereto (even if created during the project) are owned by Consortium	No change is envisaged.
		members	
		For company protection	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		Suggested Modifications Please confirm that the intellectual property and improvements thereto including those created during the project, of each member in the consortium are owned by the respective member	
35.	(iii)	We proposes a multi-criteria optioneering for different designs of subsea cable. The assessment would be qualitative with an outcome similar to a Red-Amber-Green assessment To prepare qualitative techno-commercial analysis of different options based on multi criteria optioneering for the sub sea cable for selection of best technology and cable type for the subsea cable system	Scope of work is amply clear.
36.	(vii)	We propose a high-level assessment on the technical and installation environment aspects of the subsea cable, summarizing key requirement and challenges To prepare a high-level assessment on the technical and installation environment aspects of the subsea cable, summarizing key requirement and challenges	Scope of work is amply clear.
37.	xii), xiii), xiv):	To keep up with the timeline of the study, we propose to identify measures based on general non-site-specific practices across the world The challenges would vary across geographies and sites. At this phase of study, it is preferred to identify the measures based on non site specific practices.	Scope of work is amply clear.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		i) To identify general non-site-specific practices measures required for the protection of subsea cables against various aspects such as electrical, mechanical, biological and various radiations, etc.	
		ii) To identify general non-site-specific practices measures to be taken to avoid the impact of Ocean phenomena like Tsunamis, Cyclones etc. and protection against movement of ships, submarines, anchoring etc.	
		iii) To identify the general non-site-specific practices ways and means of restoration of power cables and associated infrastructure, in case of major breakdown, disasters etc., to identify practice of laying spare circuits for redundancy for continuity of power in the event of fault in one circuit, to examine the feasibility of laying of communication cable also for commercial use, along with subsea power cable	
38.	B(3)	This may be 240 days without counting the delays from any stakeholders of consultation. To meet the requisite scope of work	Please refer to Amendment No 1.
39.	B(4)	This may be 270 days without counting the delays from any stakeholders of consultation. To meet the requisite scope of work	Please refer to Amendment No 1.
40.	G. EARNEST MONEY DEPOSIT	It is proposed to allow submission of EMD in the form of Bank Guarantee. Further it is requested to review the value of the high value of EMD and advise the estimated value of Contract	Please refer Amendment No. 1

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		This will allow to use the prevailing practices of Indian power sector & will not burden the bidders, financially	
41.	I-xiv)	It is proposed that either nominated vendors with Authorization shall be allowed or un-incorporated JV agreement is acceptable This will reduce the timelines for formation of JV. Alternatively JDU might also be accepted by Indian Consultant	Please refer Amendment No. 1
42.	I-xv)	It is requested to waive-off this requirement This will reduce the timelines for formation of JV. Alternatively JDU might also be accepted by Indian Consultant	Please refer Amendment No. 1
43.	F. QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS Page 10 I. Eligibility Criteria	 We would request PFCCL to consider following requests: - Modification of Joint and Several Liability Clause: Would request PFC Consulting Ltd. to consider modifying the joint and several liability clause to allow for a more flexible liability structure, such as proportional liability based on the scope of work or equity share of each consortium member. For Kind Consideration Acceptance of Lead Member's Responsibility: Would request PFC Consulting Ltd. To accept a structure where the lead member of the consortium takes primary responsibility for the project, while other members, including international partners, are responsible only for their specific deliverables. For Kind Consideration 	Please refer to Amendment No. 1

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	Bids of only those Bidders who satisfy the minimum qualification will be considered for further evaluation using Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) methodology. The bid shall be submitted by an individual firm or Joint Ventures (JV)/Consortium with one of the members of JV/Consortium acting as a Lead Member of the JV/Consortium. In the case of JV/Consortium, the number of members of the JV shall not exceed two (02) and all the members shall be jointly and severally liable for the execution of the entire assignment in accordance with the terms & conditions mentioned in this Bid Document.	 Clarification on Consortium Agreement Requirements: If there is any flexibility in the consortium agreement requirements, particularly regarding the roles and responsibilities of each member, to accommodate international firms' legal and operational constraints. Please Clarify Consideration of International Standards and Practices: Would request PFC Consulting Ltd. to consider aligning the tender requirements with international standards and practices to facilitate the participation of foreign firms, ensuring that the criteria do not inadvertently exclude capable international partners. 	
44.	F. QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS Page 11	We would like to request an amendment to either remove this clause or make it relevant specifically for the lead bidder/Indian entity of the consortium. Our reasons for this request are as follows: 1. Operational Efficiency: Opening and managing a separate bank account for the JV/Consortium can lead to operational inefficiencies and delays in project execution.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
45.	JV / Consortium shall open a bank account in the name of JV / Consortium and all payments due to the JV / Consortium shall be credited by employer to that account only. To facilitate statutory deductions all statutory documents like Permanent Account Number (PAN)/ Goods & Service Tax Identification Number (GSTIN) etc. in the name of the JV / Consortium shall be submitted by JV / Consortium before making any payment. B. DELIVERABLES Page 9	Allowing the lead bidder/Indian entity to manage the financial transactions would streamline the process. 2. Statutory Compliance: The lead bidder/Indian entity already possesses the necessary statutory documents such as PAN and GSTIN. Utilizing these existing documents would ensure compliance without the need for additional administrative overhead. 3. Financial Transparency: The lead bidder/Indian entity is committed to maintaining financial transparency and accountability. By centralizing the financial transactions, we can ensure better oversight and control. For Kind Consideration Would request PFCCL to offer flexibility in the timeline for deliverables, considering potential delays due to international logistics, regulatory approvals, or unforeseen circumstances.	Please refer to Amendment No 1.
	The Consultant is required to submit the following deliverables within the time schedule (Tentative) indicated against each deliverable: as per RFP	For Kind Consideration.	
46.	5.2 Compliance with Rules and Regulations Page 51	Would request PFCCL to provide a comprehensive list of local regulatory requirements and approvals needed for the project, along with the respective timelines and responsible agencies, to help international firms plan their participation more effectively.	This is under Bidder's Scope.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	The Consultant agrees that it shall be responsible and liable to comply with all the rules and regulations of various concerned government authorities and departments for the services rendered under this agreement.	For Kind Consideration.	
47.	5.2 Compliance with Rules and Regulations The Consultant agrees that it shall be responsible and liable to comply with all the rules and regulations of various concerned government authorities and departments for the services rendered under this agreement.	Would request PFCCL to support international firms in terms of navigating local regulations, obtaining necessary permits, and understanding the local business environment. For Kind Consideration.	No change is envisaged.
48.	P. OTHER TERMS & CONDITIONS Page No. 20 xiii) Consultant shall maintain strict confidentiality about the affairs of PFCCL and shall not disclose any information about PFCCL to any outside person. Consultant shall make available the services of the identified personnel as may be required for	Would request PFCCL to clarify the protocols for data sharing and confidentiality, especially concerning sensitive information that may be shared between consortium members and PFCCL. For Kind Consideration.	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
	successful execution of the work		
	and or as may be required by		
	PFCCL on specified dates, venues		
	and time in order to meet the		
	obligations of PFCCL.		
49.	A. TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR)	Would request PFCCL to provide details on expectations	The consultant needs to make the assessment as per
47.	/ SCOPE OF WORK	regarding training and capacity building for local	the scope of work and submit the deliverables.
	Page 8	stakeholders, and how should firms incorporate these	the scope of work and submit the deriverables.
		elements into their proposals.	
	Assessment of requirement of		
	technical experts and skilled	For Kind Consideration,	
	manpower, capacity building and	, and the second	
	formulation of capacity building		
	plans for the manufacturing,		
	laying and Operation &		
	Maintenance (O&M) including		
	fault locators for the subsea cables.		
50.	A. TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR)	Would request PFCCL to provide any specific requirements or	The consultant needs to make the assessment as per
50.	/ SCOPE OF WORK	preferences regarding technology transfer and the	the scope of work and submit the deliverables.
	Page 8	incorporation of innovative solutions in the project.	the scope of work and submit the deriverables.
		r system	
		For Kind Consideration.	
		TOT TAILE CONSIDERATION,	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	v) Assessment of availability (quantum as well as source) of raw material - domestic as well as internationally for manufacturing of subsea cable, identification of technologies, equipment, machineries required for the manufacturing and laying of the subsea cables, jointing and termination, new materials including software, options for technology transfer, manufacturing and testing capacity.		
51.	Clause p Pg 19 iv) All claims shall be raised by the Consultant as per the terms of payment after being due, and would be accepted for payment based on satisfactory progress and quality of the work at the sole discretion of the competent authority.	The claims for due payments to the Consultant should not be based on the satisfaction and quality of work at the convenience of the client, as both words carry ambiguous meanings. It is requested that this requirement be deleted, and payments should be made for the work already performed by the Consultant. For Kind Consideration	The payment would be released as per the provision of Clause D of e-RfP and also refer to Amendment No 1.
52.	Clause p Pg 19	We request deletion of this clause as it may lead to significant additional costs and risk to the business of the client.	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	v) In case there is a delay by the Consultant in accomplishing the deliverables which in the opinion of PFCCL is attributable to the Consultant, PFCCL reserves the right to get such specific work(s) done through any other Agency (ies) at the risk and cost of the Consultant for timely completion of the deliverables	For Kind Consideration	
53.	Clause p Pg 19 vii) PFCCL can cancel the contract at any stage of the work, in case it is found that the performance /knowledge of team/team member(s) is not satisfactory or any information given at the time of submission of the bid is found to be incorrect in line with the provisions of Contract Agreement	We request addition of the word "is not reasonably satisfactory", as it is fair to the consultant and as per the industry standards. For Kind Consideration	No change is envisaged.
54.	Clause p Pg 19	We request addition of the word "is not reasonably satisfactory", as it is fair to the consultant and as per the industry standards. For Kind Consideration	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	xiv) In case, PFCCL finds that the performance of any of the key personnel(s) is not satisfactory, the Consultant shall replace the team member within fifteen (15) days after serving the notice in writing to this effect. Otherwise, PFCCL may cancel the contract.		
55.	Board Resolution in Consortium Agreement Cl 14 Pg 36 & 37 Common Seal of	The person signing the proposal and this Consortium Agreement may not be directly authorized through a board resolution. Instead, the board resolution may authorize another person to grant a power of attorney to someone within the organization to sign the proposal and the Consortium agreement. In this context, we believe the referenced text may not be appropriate and could be removed. However, we understand that a power of attorney in favor of the person authorized to sign the proposal, and the Consortium agreement must be submitted along with the proposal. Please confirm and therefore we request removal of this requirement from the Agreement.	Common seal is based on the AOA of the company. So if as per the AOA, common seal is required to be affixed, then it shall be affixed as per the AOA and pursuant to BR.
56.	Cl 4.1 Pg 50 & Form-1: COVERING LETTER, pg	We request clarity on the Performance Guarantee, as the values mentioned in two different clauses do not align.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
	24	<i>9</i>	
	The guarantee amount shall be equal to five percent (5%) of the	Kindly confirm a single value for the Performance Guarantee.	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	contract price in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the contract and in the Bid Document. (9) We confirm that Contract Performance Guarantee (CPG) for three percent (3%) of the total consultancy fee in the form of bank guarantee shall be provided by us as per the prescribed format in case of placement of award.		
57.	5.8, Pg 52 Liability of the Consultant	There is no capping mentioned for Consultant's liability in the clause. We request for a clear capping on liabilities as a market standard. Kindly insert the below clause: ""The Consultant's total liability under this Contract [except in case of gross negligence or wilful misconduct on the part of the Consultant in carrying out the Services], for any direct loss accrued due to deficiency in Services rendered by it, shall not be higher than the total professional fees of the consultant under this Contract" Kindly Confirm	This clause is amply clear. Liability of the Consultant shall be limited to the Awarded Contract Value.
58.	9.1, Pg 54	In case the payment of the consultant is suspended, the consultant should also have an option to suspend the work for	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	Suspension: The Owner may, by written notice of suspension to the Consultant, suspend all payment to the Consultant hereunder: a) if the Consultant fails to perform any of its obligations under this Contract, including carrying out of the Services, provided, that such notice of suspension shall i) specify the nature of the failure, and ii) request the Consultant to remedy such failure within a period not exceeding thirty (30) days after receipt by the Consultant of such notice of suspension	the period the payments are suspended. We request to either remove the provision or add the below sub-clause: "(iii) In case of suspension of payment for the above reasons, the consultant shall also have an option to suspend the work with a due notice to the Client". For Kind Consideration	
59.	Cl 10.1, Pg 54 (d) if, as the result of Force Majeure, the Consultant is unable to perform a material portion of the Services for a period of not less than sixty (60) days	Termination because of force major is unjustifiable, as Force Majeure is beyond consultant's control, so we request to consider removing this clause. For Kind Consideration	No change is envisaged.
60.	Cl 10.1, Pg 54	We request the removal of the "termination at convenience" provision, as it could result in unplanned costs for the Consultant. Alternatively, we propose including additional compensation to offset the potential impact, should this provision remain.	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	(f) if the Owner, in its sole discretion and for any reason whatsoever, decides to terminate this Contract	For Kind Consideration	
61.	Missing clause No clause for suspension /Termination right to the Consultant	We request for a right to suspend /terminate in the event of non-payment, delayed payment or breach of obligation by the client as per RFP/contract. For Kind Consideration	No change is envisaged.
62.	Cl 11.4, Pg 56 Any period within which a Party shall, pursuant to this Contract, complete any action or task, shall be extended for a period equal to the time during which such Party was unable to perform such action as a result of Force Majeure	Also, we request you to have a mutual agreed price escalation	No change is envisaged.
63.	Close Bid Date & Time, Pg 2 04.02.2025 11:00 hrs (IST)	We request PFCCL to extend the bid closing date by at least 3 weeks from the date of releasing the replies/clarifications. For Kind Consideration	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.
64.	e-RfP document	Allow consortium of 3 or more members as mostly the work involve various domain foreign experts in manufacturing and laying.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
65.	e-RfP document	Extend the submission timeline of DPR to 6 months since the DPR submission will depend on inputs from various stakeholders like foreign and Indian manufacturers, vessel companies etc.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
66.	e-RfP document	Accept submarine subsea cable consultancy as experience in QCBS marking (refer work order of a current consultancy done by TCIL).	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1. Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation.
67.	e-RfP document	Modify payment terms and retain only 10% to 15% against completion of assignment since a major cost is borne by agency while final DPR submission.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
68.	e-RfP document	Provide extension of min. 3 weeks in bid submission to identify suitable partners for this project.	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.
69.	e-RfP document	Given the substantial scope the consultant is expected to do, to bring together for a strong consortium, for a well prepared proposal, we request a6-week extension to the current deadline of 4th February 2025.	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.
70.	e-RfP document	Please explain how the "Earnest Money Deposit" will be dealt with. Is the earnest money deposit to be returned to unsuccessful bidders and will be set off the fees to be paid for the successful bidder	It will be returned to bidders.
71.	e-RfP document	Please allow more than 2 members to form a consortium.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
72.	e-RfP document	Please extend the current planned duration of the project which is difficult to comply with given interactions required with mentioned stakeholders.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1
73.	Clause 1.I.b (Opening of Technical Bid)	Given the comprehensive scope of the project, we kindly request a two-week extension for the bid submission deadline. This additional time would allowfor a thorough and detailed understanding necessary for a strong proposal.	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.
74.	Clause1.C (Period of Engagement)	Due to the extensive nature of the project, we would like to inquire if it is possible to extend the project timeline to 8-9 months. This would ensure afeasible timeline for successful completion.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1
75.	e-RfP document	We kindly request clarification on the specific voltage level requirements for the cables outlined in the scope. Could you please confirm if this pertains to the entire range of cables or if M/s PFCCL is focused on a specific range?	This is under Bidder's Scope.
76.	Clause 1.A (ToR/Scope of Work)	Is a detailed feasibility study required for each interconnection route, or is it limited to the 37 GW offshore wind project target? As multiple interconnection routes are specified, the scope shall also vary accordingly.	Scope of work is amply clear.
77.	Clause 1.A.iii (Voltage Specifications)	Are there restrictions on the voltage level? AC and DC voltage requirements would vary depending on the routes (offshore/interconnection), which would also affect the scope of the project.	This is under Bidder's Scope.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
78.	_	The total duration of the assignment would be Four (04)	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
	Reference/Period of Engagement /	months from the date of issuance of Letter of Award (LoA) or	
	09 of RFP	till completion of the assignment. Completion of assignment	
		means completion of the entire scope of work/ToR and	
		acceptance of all deliverables.	
		We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause	
		with the following:	
		The total duration of the assignment would be twelve (12) months from the date of issuance of Letter of Award (LoA) or till completion of the assignment. Completion of assignment means completion of the entire scope of work/ToR and acceptance of all deliverables.	
79.	As per Clause F (I): Terms of	In the case of JV/Consortium, the number of member of the JV	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
79.	Reference/Qualification	shall not exceed two (02) and all the members shall be jointly	Thease refer to the Americanient No. 1.
	Requirements/ Eligibility Criteria/	and severally liable for the execution of the entire assignment	
	10 of RFP	in accordance with the terms & conditions mentioned in this	
		Bid Document.	
		We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause	
		with the following:	
		In the case of JV/Consortium, the number of member of the JV	
		shall not exceed three (03) or more and all the members shall	
		be jointly and severally liable for the execution of the entire	
		assignment in accordance with the terms & conditions	
		mentioned in this Bid Document.	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		We also request the client to kindly allow participation of unincorporated JV members.	
80.	As per Clause F (II): Terms of Reference/Qualification Requirements/ Technical Experience/Requirement/ 12 of RFP	The Bidder must have experience of providing consultancy services for at least one assignment broadly including preparation of feasibility report/ techno-commercial report/ DPR/Study Report for manufacturing, laying and maintenance of sub-sea power cables across the globe preferably during last Seven (07) Years. We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause with the following: The Bidder must have experience of providing consultancy services for at least one assignment broadly including preparation of feasibility report/techno-commercial report/DPR/Study Report for manufacturing or laying or maintenance of sub-sea power cables across the globe preferably during last Ten (10) Years. We would also appreciate it if the client could clarify whether we are permitted to include relevant ongoing projects.	Please refer to Amendment No 1. Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation
81.	As per Clause J (iii): Terms of Reference/ Basis of Offer/ / 18 of RFP	The price offer for the assignment should be quoted on a lump sum basis inclusive of travelling, lodging & boarding expenses, TA/DA, out of pocket expenses viz. photostat, typing, printing etc. No escalation for any reason, whatsoever shall be allowed over and above the bid price till the completion of assignment.	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision	However, GST at applicable rates, on the date(s) of payment(s) shall be paid over and above the payment due. We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause with the following: The price offer for the assignment should be quoted on a lump sum basis inclusive of travelling, lodging & boarding expenses, TA/DA, out of pocket expenses viz. photostat, typing, printing etc. Price escalation shall be considered over and above the bid price till the completion of assignment. However, GST at applicable rates, on the date(s) of payment(s) shall be paid over and above the payment due.	
82.	As per Clause L: Terms of Reference/ Contract Performance Guarantee (CPG)/ 18 of RFP	In the event of an award, the selected Bidder, within fifteen (15) days of issue of Letter of Award from PFCCL, will be required to arrange submission of CPG in the form of a Bank Guarantee (BG) equivalent to five percent (5%) of the total consultancy fee. We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause with the following: In the event of an award, the selected Bidder, within thirty (30) days of issue of Letter of Award from PFCCL, will be required to arrange submission of CPG in the form of a Bank Guarantee (BG) equivalent to five percent (5%) of the total consultancy fee.	No change is envisaged.
83.	As per Clause M (iii): Terms of Reference/ Contract Agreement/ 18 of RFP	In the event of award, the selected Bidder ("Consultant") will be required to enter into a Contract Agreement with the PFCCL within fifteen (15) days from the date of the Letter of Award	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
		(LOA) or within such extended time, as may be granted by the PFCCL. We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause with the following: In the event of award, the selected Bidder ("Consultant") will be required to enter into a Contract Agreement with the PFCCL within thirty (30) days from the date of the Letter of Award	
		(LOA) or within such extended time, as may be granted by the PFCCL.	
84.	As per Clause P (vi): Terms of Reference/ Other Terms & Conditions/ 19 of RFP	In case the performance of the proposed team member(s) is not satisfactory, the Consultant will be asked to change/replace the team member(s) within seven (07) days of receipt of such request from PFCCL with member(s) acceptable to PFCCL. We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause with the following: In case the performance of the proposed team member(s) is not satisfactory, the Consultant will be asked to change/ replace the team member(s) within forteen (14) days of receipt of such request from PFCCL with member(s) acceptable to PFCCL.	No change is envisaged.
85.	As per Clause P (xiv): Terms of Reference/ Other Terms & Conditions/ 21 of RFP	In case, PFCCL finds that the performance of any of the key personnel(s) is not satisfactory, the Consultant shall replace the team member within fifteen (15) days after serving the notice in writing to this effect. Otherwise, PFCCL may cancel the contract.	No change is envisaged.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	F 20, 2000	We request the client to kindly amend the respective clause with the following: In case, PFCCL finds that the performance of any of the key personnel(s) is not satisfactory, the Consultant shall replace the team member within thirty (30) days after serving the notice in writing to this effect. Otherwise, PFCCL may cancel the contract.	
86.	General Query:	We request the client to kindly provide an extension to the Bid Submission by at least two weeks and revise the submission date from 04.02.2025 to 18.02.2025 .	
87.	Page 10, Clause F Qualification Requirements, Sub clause I Eligibility Criteria	Limitation of JV/Consortium members to 2. Allow consortium of 3 or more members as mostly the work involve various domain foreign experts in manufacturing and laying	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
88.	Page 9 clause B Deliverables	Draft Feasibility and Techno commercial analysis report: Tentative submission 90 Days from LOA Extend the submission timeline of DPR to 6 months since the DPR submission will depend on inputs from various stakeholders like foreign and Indian manufacturers, vessel companies etc.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		Suggested modification 180 days from LoA	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification r	equired	Clarification/Response
	provision			
89.	· ·	Experience in providing consultar of feasibility report/techno-com report for manufacturing, laying a power cables across the globe duri	amercial report/DPR/study and maintenance of sub-sea	Please refer to Amendment No 1. Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation
	Relevant Experience of Consultancy Firm	Accept submarine subsea cable co QCBS marking (refer work orderof by TCIL)	, I	
		Suggested modification		
		Experience in providing consultar of feasibility report/techno-com report for manufacturing, laying a power cables/subs sea cables acre years	amercial report/DPR/study and maintenance of sub-sea	
90.	Page 10 clause D terms of payment	S. No Activity	Payments (% of Lump Sum Price)	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		Submission of Inception Report.	10	
		 Submission and acceptance of Draft feasibility and techno- commercial analysis report with owner's commercial incorporated in the final feasibility and techno-commercial analysis report. 	30	
		 Submission and acceptance of Final feasibility and techno- commercial analysis report after incorporating comments 	30	
		Completion of assignment	30	
		Total	100	
		Modify payment terms and retain completion of assignment since a multiple while final DPR submission.	•	
		Suggested modification		

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision		Clarification required		Clarification/Response
	-	S. No	Activity	Payments (% of Lumpsum Price)	
		1.	Submission of Inception Report.	10	
		2.	Submission and acceptance of Draft feasibility and techno- commercial analysis report with owner's comments to be incorporated in the final feasibility and techno-commercial analysis report.	40	
		3.	Submission and acceptance of Final feasibility and techno- commercial analysis report after incorporating comments	40	
		4.	Completion of assignment	10	
			Total	100	
91.	Page 2 of RFP: Close Bid Date and Time		2.2025 11:00 Hrs (IST) Provide ex- submission to identify suitable pa		Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.
		Sug	gested modification		
			2.2025 11:00 Hrs (IST)		
92.	-	Plea	se can you provide an expected b	oudget for the project	Bidder is required to quote their financial bid based
		Kno	owing your anticipated budget	will allow us to build a	on the scope of work and deliverables.
		pro	posal and methodology with a su	italbe level of detail	
		Sug	gested Modifications		
		Incl	ude an additional clause which	n either states an upper	•
		bud	get limit, or an anticipated budge	et range.	
93.	Bid Submission Extension	We	kindly request an extension of the	e bid submission deadline	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website
75.		by t	hree weeks. This additional time	will allow us to ensure a	1
			prehensive and high-qualitysub		regarding Kevised bid Submission date.
			requirements of the tender.	O	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
94.	Limit of Liability:	We request that the limit of liability be adjusted to match the contract value. This alignment would ensure a fair and balanced contractual framework for all parties involved	Liability of the Consultant shall be limited to the Awarded Contract Value.
95.	TOR (A) – point (iv), Pg. No. 07 of RFP. The feasibility is limited only to finding the feasibility for domestic manufacturing, it does not cover the cable design aspects and of the marine /subsea power cable.	Manufacturing and design/engineering are separate skills sets. Hence cannot be taken up together. Design of the cable will also depend on the application and requirement. Suggested modification Need Clarification.	Scope of work is amply clear.
96.	TOR(A) – point (iii), Pg. No. 07 of RFP. Up to what "KV Class" the feasibility for manufacturing must be evaluated.	The manufacturing capabilities of the cables will depend on the voltage level and accordingly the assembly unit will be established.	Scope of work is amply clear.
97.	TOR(A) – point (vi), Pg. No. 07 of RFP. Since the laying procedure/ standards are impacted by many factors like voltage level, water quality, Subsea conditions, depth. Does this feasibility require studies to be done by the way of ocean survey, route survey,	These factors are design related and related to the laying procedure under different conditions. Suggested modification At this stage only the broad laying procedures as adopted internationally should be covered. The details like surveys and studies be done at the time of the project implementation.	Scope of work is amply clear.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
	implication due to other ocean		
	conditions.		
98.	TOR(A) – point	Clarification Required	Scope of work is amply clear.
70.	(viii), Pg. No. 07 of RFP.		scope of work is uniply cicur.
	No land or plot location is		
	required at this stage, only the		
	land area required for the		
	facility to be indicated.		
	Please clarify		
99.	TOR(A) – point	These are the standard used internationally for laying such	Scope of work is amply clear.
)).	(xv), Pg. No. 08 of RFP.	cables with the modification from country to country have	scope of work is uniply clear.
	XA71-11- J-1 (1 C11-11(11	been reported, same can be used, for this project.	
	While doing the feasibility will		
	already existing subsea cable,	Suggested modification	
	standard be applicable for		
	reference standard like ANSI,	Can be useful as basic guide.	
	IES, IEEE standard is accepted. TOR(A)- point		
100.	(vii) Pg. No. 07 &	Do we have also to study environmental impacts on	Scope of work is amply clear.
	point (xvii) Pg.	manufacturing, laying cable.	
	No. 08 of RFP	Clarification	
	110.00 01111	Clarification	
101	TOR(A) – point	We understand the only laying procedure and maintenance	Coope of sweet is amount of the
101.	(vii), Pg. No. 07 of RFP.	procedures need to be included in the feasibility report. Please	Scope of work is amply clear.
		clarify.	
		-	
		Reference can be made to international guidelines and	
		modified as per the Indian conditions.	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
102.	TOR(A) – point (vii), Pg. No 07 & Point (xvii), Pg. No 08 of RFP Ocean impact and others sub ocean geo studies are not required at this stage; this will be taken care of by a separate agency while project implementation. As it is mentioned in (xvii) point based on the assessment of exiting project. At this stage requirement for the such studies will be mentioned in the report.	Please accept these changes & include in this RFP.	No change is envisaged.
103.	TOR (A) – point (xix), Pg. No. 08 of RFP. Clarify on foreign visit for study - day/members Please clarify.	This will impact on the cost proposal. Kindly clarify.	Expenses related to air travel and stay will be borne by respective organisation. However, expenses related to visas, local travel & other arrangements (foreign country) related to foreign study will be borne by Consultant for around 10 officials.
104.	Terms of Payment (D), Pg. No. 10 of RFP. S.No. 1 - 10% S.No. 2 - 30% S.No. 3 30%	Request for lump sum terms payment as follows: 1. 10% 2. 40% 3. 40% 4. 10%	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	S.No. 4 30%		
		Major work will be done during draft report only such as the	
		request is made.	
		Suggested modification	
	F11 - 11-111	Kindly Modify.	
105.	Eligibility Criteria (I), Pg. No. 10 of RFP	This will increase the participation of bidders.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
	Can external consultant be	May be allowed.	
	allowed to support multiple		
	Indian parties.		
106.	Eligibility	JV should be Un-incorporated & consortium should be allowed	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
100.	Criteria (I) – point (i) & point	with capacity of three members.	rease refer to the rimerament roo. 1.
	(ii), Pg. No. 10.		
		To save time in deciding JV & including maximum	
		expertise.	
		Kindly Modify.	
107.	GENERAL	The bid clarifications are also requiring, and compilation of	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website
	Request for Extension in bid submission by 3 weeks	the bid will be possible in such a short time.	regarding Revised bid submission date.
		Kindly request you to extend the date by three (03) weeks.	
108.	GENERAL	Since the assignment will require extensive travel data	Please refer Amendment No. 1
	Project completion duration of 04 months.	compilation, market research, international guidelines, the	
	duration of 04 months.	time limit of 04 months is short.	
		Suggested modification	
		Kindly allow eight (08) months for the assignment.	
109.	GENERAL	Kindly accept this request	Relevant MSME rules and regulations are
100.	MSME registered companies should be exempted		applicable.
	companies should be exempted		

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision from paying EMD as per		
	Government of India		
110.	guidelines. GENERAL Project liabilities should be limited to project fee.	Kindly clarify.	Liability of the Consultant shall be limited to the Awarded Contract Value.
111.	GENERAL Please clarify if the experience of project management/supervision services for the subsea cable manufacturing facility or subsea cable laying project will qualify for submission of the bid.	Manufacturing of the cable will require expertise of the cable industry or cable manufacturing agency where as laying/maintenance of the cable is also related to various site requirement and practices as suggesting the cable experts. The feasibility would require experience of cable industry for suggesting the laying, operating and maintaining procedures, such such experience may not be available with agencies who have only PMC experience. Kindly clarify.	Please refer to Amendment No 1. Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation.
112.	GENERAL All the JV partners should have experience in power sector/distribution and transmission/generation industry to bring more value to the feasibility report.	This will add value by way of inputs and uphold the sanctity that all the partners are jointly severely responsible for the project delivery. Kindly include in RFP for Quality participation and report.	Please refer to Amendment No 1. Experience as per the qualification requirement of e-RfP document shall be considered for evaluation
113.	Page No. 7, TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) / SCOPE OF WORK	Will the survey and mapping activities be the responsibility of the consultant and within the scope of assignment?	Bidder to meet the requirement of e-RfP document.
	i. Assessment of year-wise demand of subsea cable (in short, medium and long term) in respect	Has implication on both time and cost for the feasibility report Suggested Modification	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
	of India and also in different	All standard primary and survey data related to the seabed	
	geographies or regions based on	depth, seabed topography, bathymetry, and other relevant	
	the mapping of available potential	aspects (like ecological/environmental impact assessments etc)	
	of off-shore Wind Power (37 GW	will be provided and facilitated by PFC Consulting/CEA	
	by MNRE mapping of sea bed	through NIOT or other designated agencies, and given to the	
	depth vis-à-vis distance from the	winning consortium of consultants.	
	coast, topography of the sea bed,	1 ,	
	route for the subsea cable		
	(assessment of quantum of array,	should be provided	
	export cables and sub-sea		
	interconnection)		
114.	Page No. 7,	Should this be based on CERC's standard norms, like	Bidder to meet the requirement of e-RfP document.
	TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) /	benchmarking at ~12% IRR for power projects or	1
	SCOPE OF WORK		
		other/additional benchmarks as considered under the PLI	
	ii.c. Comparative analysis of the	schemes?	
	Internal Rate of Return (IRRs) in	Removes uncertainty and so the avoids any delay in work due	
	the Indian context vis à-vis Govt.	to mis-understanding or misalignment of objective	
	policies.	Suggested Modification	
		Clarification would be helpful	
115.	Page No. 7,	This does not include any new study and/or assessment	Bidder to meet the requirement of e-RfP document.
	TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) /	It is assumed that a review and compilation of relevant already	as most the requirement of a rail document.
	SCOPE OF WORK	conducted studies would be undertaken	
		Suggested Modification	
		Clarification would be helpful	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
	vii To prepare the prefeasibility report on the ecosystem for manufacturing, laying, testing, O&M including assessment of the environmental/ ecological aspects and other scientific studies, corrosion related issues, requirement of communication system, etc. along with item wise break up of costs for the identified projects		
116.	Page No. 8, TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) / SCOPE OF WORK	 Would it be an investigation and survey be needed to be done Securing or able to undertake investigation/survey will be sensitive from GoI's perspective 	Scope of work is amply clear.
	A.ix. To identify various clearances / approvals required for setting up manufacturing facilities, for carrying out the survey and laying of subsea cables and also O&M including but not limited to the field of defense, marine, oil exploration etc., international marine laws or any other international laws having impact on subsea cable laying and its maintenance. Also, the details of Agencies (International/ National) from which such clearances / approvals shall be obtained.	- Cost and time involved needs to be factored in for the same Suggested Modification To be provided and facilitated by PFC Consulting/CEA through NIOT or other designated agencies List of such areas with survey data with indicated formats to be provided and/or facilitated by PFCC/CEA should be	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
117.	Page No. 8, TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) / SCOPE OF WORK xix Consultant shall arrange a foreign study visit for officials of MoP, CEA, PFCCL and RECPDCL to off shore projects	 Issuance of travel visas for government officials is subject to protocol-related procedures is beyond the control of the consultant, and so cannot be linked to completion of other deliverables of the study Per diem and other lodging & boarding limits is specific to rank and organisation norms, which is difficult for the consultant to manage Suggested Modification It presents considerable difficulty and complexity to the consultant Moreover, budget for such activity carriable and largely dependent on factors such as (i) the number (& rank) of officials traveling, (ii) the destination country, and (iii) the duration of the visit, And which are very difficult to ascertain at the stage of proposal submission Suggested Modification The budget & time lines for overseas visit of PFC Consulting, CEA, PGCIL, MoP, etc, should be de-linked to the all the other study deliverables related payment and time lines Cost of the overseas travel should be borne by the respective organisations whose officers are taking part of the foreign 	The period of engagement is till the completion of the assignment, foreign study visit is independent to other deliverables. Expenses related to air travel and stay will be borne by respective organisation. However, expenses related to visas, local travel & other arrangements (foreign country) related to foreign study will be borne by Consultant for around 10 officials. Also refer to Amendment No. 1
118.	Page 9 B. DELIVERABLES	study visit Timeline for Draft report submission may be reconsidered given the existing scope of work Suggested Modification	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		Timeline for Draft report submission 6 months.	
119.	Page 9 B. DELIVERABLES	Timeline Final report submission may be reconsidered given the existing scope of work	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		Suggested Modification	
		Final report submission be in 2 months from the date of receipt of comments from PFCC on the draft report	
120.		Limitation of LD and Penalties liability under this contract Suggested Modification	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		It should be limited to 5% of the total contract value.	Liability of the Consultant shall be limited to the Awarded Contract Value.
121.	Page 10 QUALIFICATION	Increase in limit of consortium members	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
	REQUIREMENTS	It is essential to have a larger consortium to pool the matching	
	F. I	resources to deliver quality results & within the given (or even	
		the proposed new timelines by us above) for the quantum of	
		work required	
		Suggested Modification	
		The consortium may include up to four members, with a maximum of two international consultants.	
122.	Page 11 QUALIFICATION	Preference to be given to domestically grown power sector	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
	REQUIREMENTS	consultancy firms	
	F. I. vi.	To ensure that promising Indian consulting firms with	
		potential in this emerging sector are not unduly excluded	
		Suggested Modification	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
		The consortium of consultants should be led by an Indian-	
		registered firm having at least 5 years of experience in the	
		power sector	
123.	Section P	To Avoid potential Conflict of interest and undue influence in	No change is envisaged.
	Other Terms & Conditions Clause X	the project outcome	
		Lead consultants for India should not be form related supply	
		chain and /or related manufacturing sector and/or an EPC	
		business, for that can provide the undue early information to	
		such participants, than the broader Indian industry	
		Suggested Modification	
		A suitable clause to this effect should added to this specific	
		section, to avoid any conflict of information or early	
		advantageous information	
124.	Page 19,	EMD waiver for MSMEs	Relevant MSME rules and regulations are
	P. OTHER TERMS & CONDITIONS (EMD)	AS per GoI's GFR (General Financial Rules) 2017, MSMEs are	applicable.
	CONDITIONS (EMD)	exempted from EMD amounts	
		Suggested Modification	
		MSMEs led consortium to be allowed this waiver of EMD	
		submission	
125.	Page 18,	Purchase preference to MSME led consortium	Relevant MSME rules and regulations are
	EVALUATION	As per MSME Ministry's order number 2012 dated 23 March	applicable.
	SECION J	2012, a MSME led consortium is not L1 but it has its quoted	
	(Basis of Offer)	price within L1 + 15% margin, the preference to MSME led	
		consortium	
		Suggested Modification	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision	MSMEs led consortium to be accorded this preference	
126. 127.	FORM 6 COMPOSITION OF TEAM Page 2 SUBMISSION OF BID	Requested CVs of team-members is limited currently on the experience related to Laying & Manufacturing of sub-sea power cables The study objective is to deliver a techno-commercial feasibility of market demand and manufacturing eco-system in Indian context - None of the Indian lead firms are not likely to have this profile of experts Suggested Modification Indian lead of the consortium's techno-commercial CV should also be included and give due/ample weightage in the scoring matrix Extension in Bid submission timeline.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1 & Form-6 Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website
		Suggested Modification 3 weeks extension in the submission timeline from the date of reply of queries by PFCCL.	regarding Revised bid submission date.
128.	Sr. No. B, Pg. 9	Deliverables	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarifica	ation require	d	Clarification/Response
		S. No. Deliverables	Tentative Timelines (Days) from Zero Date (Issuance of LoA)		
		Inception Report detailing the Consultant approach, methodology and compositensive wor plan for undertaking the assignment and minifindings and observations. Further, the inception report shall also includ Informediate undestones and time lines to completion of the entire scope of work and entire.	s 15 k l		
		Progress Report containing works undertake during the period and identifying this issues/shallenges and its remedial measures. Day report for the portion of scope of work completed, the submitted along with the progress report for comments.	e ft o		
		Draft feasibility and techno-commercial analy Report as per ToR and presentation to MoP. CEA a other stakeholders as decided by owner! CEA. Final feasibility and techno-commercial analy Report as per ToR and presentation to MoP. CEA a other stakeholders as decided by owner! CEA.	nd ses 120		
		As per the scope, the propreparation of various st			
		analysis. These activities, b	y their natu	re, require sufficient	
		interaction with key stal	keholders, th	e compilation and	
		evaluation of data, as well a	s thorough re	eview and validation	
		processes. Given the comp	lexity of the	se components, it is	
		crucial that adequate time	is allocated fo	or each phase of the	
		project to ensure high-qualit	y deliverable	S.	
		Given these considerations, the current project timeline necessary time for these task and any adjustments to the task Suggested Modification	e to ensure i	t accommodates the tions. Your feedback	
		We suggest the following de	eliverables		

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarifi	ication required	Clarification/Response
	provision			
		1 Inception Report detailing the Consultant's approach, methodology and comprehensive work plan for undertaking the assignment and initial findings and observations. Further, the inception report shall also include Intermediate milestones and timelines for completion of the entire scope of work and entire project. 2 Progress Report containing works undertaken during the period and identifying the issues/challenges and its remedial measures. Draft report for the portion of scope of work completed, to be submitted along with the progress report for comments. 3 Draft fessibility and techno-commercial analysis Report as per ToR and presentation to MoR, CEA and other stakeholders as decided by owner/ CEA. Final fessibility and techno-commercial analysis Report as per ToR and presentation to MoR, CEA and other stakeholders as decided by owner/ CEA.	Entative Trrefires (Day) fromZisro Dale (Issuanceof LoA) 15 Every Fortnight 150 180	
129.	Sr. No. A (ii & iii), Pg. 7	other stakeholders as decided by owner/ CEA		Scope of work is amply clear.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		with primary cable design material like conductor, insulation,	
		screen, sheath, water blocking layer etc.) currently achieved in	
		design for both types of cable - AC and DC.	
		Could you please detail the activities to be performed under	
		TOR/Scope of work Sr No ii and iii.	
		This will help us to understand the scope to be performed by	
		the consultant.	
130.	Sr. No. A (xix), Pg. 8	Consultant shall arrange a foreign study visit for officials of	Expenses related to air travel and stay will be borne
		MoP, CEA, PFCCL and RECPDCL to offshore projects.	by respective organisation. However, expenses
		We would like to confirm our understanding regarding the	related to visas, local travel & other arrangements
		arrangements for the upcoming foreign study visit. We	(foreign country) related to foreign study will be borne by Consultant for around 10 officials.
		understand that the consultant will arrange the visit, but the	borne by Consultant for around to officials.
		client will be responsible for bearing the related logistical	
		expenses.	
		or	
		If consultant have to manage the logistical expenses of client	
		staff, the total number of participants to be required. The all-	
		logistical expenses will be reimbursed on actual on completion	
		of the visit.	
		Clarity on this would be required.	
131.	Sr. No. B, Pg. 9	There may be any other deliverables, which are not specifically mentioned above but may be required for completing the task. The Consultant shall have to include such deliverables, as a part of the final report for the successful completion of the task.	The Bidders are required to submit the deliverables mentioned under Clause B for the complete scope of work.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision	As stated, the Consultant shall need to include such additional deliverables as part of the final report for the successful completion of the task. In light of this, I would like to confirm whether any deliverables outside of the current scope would result in the need for additional time and resources . Could you please confirm if the timeline and costs will be adjusted accordingly for any such deliverables or if they will be handled separately? This would ensure that we can properly allocate resources and plan for any necessary adjustments to avoid delays in the completion of the project.	
132.	Sr No D, Pg 10	SNo Activity Payments (% of Lump Sum Price) 1 Submission of Inception Report 10 2 Submission and acceptance of Draft feasibility 30 and technor-commercial analysis report with owner's comments to be incorporated in the final feasibility and technor-commercial analysis report 3 Submission and acceptance of Final feasibility 30 and technor-commercial analysis report after incorporating comments 4 Completion of assignment 30	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		Upon reviewing the current payment structure in the project, it appears that the major payment of 30% is currently linked to the completion of the assignment. However, this payment arrangement does not align with the activity stages in the project, and may not adequately reflect the progress of key milestones. In view of this, we would like to propose an amendment to the payment clause. We suggest that the payment schedule be realigned to better correspond with the activity stages,	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		ensuring that payments are made in accordance with the completion of specific milestones and deliverables. SNO Activity	
133.	Sr No F (I), Pg 12	JV / Consortium agreement shall be registered in accordance with law so as to be legally valid and binding on the members before making any payment. Could you kindly confirm whether it is mandatory for the consultant to register the JV/Consortium agreement, or if this requirement is optional for the consultant? We request to allow unincorporated JV for this tender as registered JV restrict quality bidders for submission of proposal. Suggested Modification JV / Consortium agreement shall be registered in accordance with law so as to be legally valid and binding on the members before making any payment (Clause Deleted)	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1. As per the Registration Act, it is not mandatory to register a Consortium Agreement.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
134.	Sr No F (I), Pg 12	JV / Consortium shall open a bank account in the name of JV /	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		Consortium and all payments due to the JV / Consortium shall	
		be credited by employer to that account only. To facilitate	
		statutory deductions all statutory documents like Permanent	
		Account Number (PAN)/ Goods & Service Tax Identification	
		Number (GSTIN) etc. in the name of the JV / Consortium shall	
		be submitted by JV / Consortium before making any payment.	
		Could you kindly confirm whether it is mandatory for the consultant to register the JV/Consortium agreement, or if this requirement is optional for the consultant? We request to allow receipt of payment in the account of lead bidder for this tender as opening JV/consortium account will	
		attract legal compliances and would restrict quality bidders for	
		submission of proposal.	
		Suggested Modification	
		JV / Consortium shall open a bank account in the name of JV /	
		Consortium and all payments due to the JV / Consortium shall	
		be credited by employer to that account only. To facilitate	
		statutory deductions all statutory documents like Permanent	
		Account Number (PAN)/ Goods & Service Tax Identification	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		Number (GSTIN) etc. in the name of the JV / Consortium shall	
		be submitted by JV / Consortium before making any payment.	
		(Clause Deleted)	
135.	Section 4, Cl. 5.8, Pg 52	Liability of the Consultant	Liability of the Consultant shall be limited to the
		We understand that the limitation of liability is specified as 1	Awarded Contract Value.
		time the contract value. Could you please confirm that this	
		understanding is correct? A confirmation on this matter would	
		help ensure clarity and proper alignment with the contract	
		terms.	
		Suggested Modification	
		Liability of the Consultant	
		The maximum liability of the consultant towards PFCCL	
		arising under the Contract shall not exceed 1 time of the	
		consultancy fee under this Agreement.	
136.	Section 4, Cl. 10, Pg 54	Termination of contract	No change is envisaged.
		Upon review, it has come to our attention that the current	
		agreement does not specify the conditions under which the	
		consultant may terminate the contract. To ensure clarity and	
		fairness for both parties, we would kindly request the inclusion	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
		of a clause that outlines the procedures and conditions for	
		termination by the consultant.	
		Suggested Modification	
		Termination by consultant	
		The consultant shall be entitled to terminate the Contract if:	
		If, PFCCL fails to make the payment of any undisputed Service	
		Charges as per the terms	
		and conditions of the Contract.; or PFCCL is in material breach	
		of its obligations under the Contract, which breach has a	
		material adverse effect on the Service Provider and, if capable	
		of remedy, is not remedied within 30 (thirty) Days;	
		In any of these events or circumstances, the consultant may,	
		upon giving 30 (thirty) Days' written notice to PFCCL,	
		terminate the Contract.	
137.	Section 4, Cl. 4, Pg 50	Liquidated Damages	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		For clarity and to ensure proper understanding of the contract	
		terms, we kindly request that you define the LD applicable to	
		the project, including the total capping for the liquidated	
		damages. This will help us assess potential risks and ensure	
		alignment with the project's objectives and timelines.	
		Suggested Modification	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
138.	Form 6; Composition of Team and the Team Leader to be deployed and their Qualification and Experience	Liquidated Damages 0.5 % of the consultancy fee per week or part thereof subjected to a maximum of 5 % of consultancy fee. We understand that the team of experts will include individuals with international expertise, potentially involving international experts. To assist us in estimating the cost and staffing schedule for the scope of work, could you please clarify: 1. How many total days of the 120-day assignment period will the international experts be required to be physically present in India? 2. Will they be allowed to work remotely or will their presence in India be mandatory for the entire duration of their involvement? Your responses will help us better plan the cost and staffing schedule.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1. Bidder to meet the requirement of e-RfP document.
139.	Sr No L, Pg 18	In the event of an award, the selected Bidder, within fifteen (15) days of issue of Letter of Award from PFCCL, will be required	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision	to arrange submission of CPG in the form of a Bank Guarantee	
		(BG) equivalent to five percent (5%) of the total consultancy fee.	
		As these two clauses specify different percentages for the CPG,	
		we would appreciate your clarification on which clause should	
		be followed. Please confirm whether the CPG requirement is	
		3% or 5% of the total consultancy fee.	
		Suggested Modification	
		In the event of an award, the selected Bidder, within fifteen (15)	
		days of issue of Letter of Award from PFCCL, will be required	
		to arrange submission of CPG in the form of a Bank Guarantee	
		(BG) equivalent to five percent (3%) of the total consultancy fee.	
140.	Section 2, Form 1 Covering Letter,	We declare that the services will be rendered strictly in	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
	Pg 24	accordance with the specifications. We confirm our	
		acceptance/compliance to the "Deliverables" and "Terms of	
		payment" clauses as stipulated in the Bid Document. We	
		confirm that Contract Performance Guarantee (CPG) for three	
		percent (3%) of the total consultancy fee in the form of bank	
		guarantee shall be provided by us as per the prescribed format	
		in case of placement of award.	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
		As these two clauses specify different percentages for the CPG,	
		we would appreciate your clarification on which clause should	
		be followed. Please confirm whether the CPG requirement is	
		3% or 5% of the total consultancy fee.	
		Suggested Modification	
		In the event of an award, the selected Bidder, within fifteen (15)	
		days of issue of Letter of Award from PFCCL, will be required	
		to arrange submission of CPG in the form of a Bank Guarantee	
		(BG) equivalent to five percent (3%) of the total consultancy fee.	
141.	e-RFP, Pg 2	We kindly request that PFCCL to provide a four-week period	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website
		for the submission of proposals following the response to the	regarding Revised bid submission date.
		pre-bid queries. This additional time will allow us to align our	
		response as per clients requirements.	
		Suggested Modification	
		Close Bid Date & Time: 03.03.2025 /11:00 hrs (IST)	
142.	Clause 'C', Period of Engagement	The total duration of the assignment would be Four (04)	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		months form date of issuance of Letter of Award (LoA) or till	
		completion of the assignment.	
		The four (04) month timeline may not be sufficient given the	
		complexity of subsea cable projects, which involve	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required			Clarification/Response		
	provision	geotechnical studies, regulatory approvals, stakeholder coordination, and multi-phase technical assessments. Extending the duration to eight (08) months will ensure thorough analysis, compliance, and risk mitigation, leading to high-quality deliverables without compromising project quality. Request you to modify clause as shown below: The total duration of the assignment would be Eight (08) months form date of issuance of Letter of Award (LoA) or till					
		compl	etion of the assignment.				
143.	Clause 'D' Terms of Payment						Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
		S. No.	Activity	Paymer (% Lump Sum Price)	nts of		
		1.	Submission of Inception Report	10			
		2.	Submission and acceptance of Draft feasibility and technocommercial analysis report with owner's	30			

S. No	Clause No. and Existing		Clarification r	equired		Clarification/Response
	provision					
			comments to be			
			incorporated in the final			
			feasibility and techno-			
			commercial analysis			
			report			
		3.	Submission and	30		
			acceptance of Final			
			feasibility and techno-			
			commercial analysis			
			report after incorporating			
			comments			
		4.	Completion of	30		
			assignment			
		Tot	100			
		al				
				•		
		The r	evised terms (10-50-30-10)	ensure adeq	uate cash flow	
		durin	g the resource-intensive di	aft feasibilit	y phase while	
		aligni	ng payments with the effort	ort required	at each stage,	
		promo	oting balanced financial	management	and quality	
		delive	rables.			
		Reque	est you to modify as shown b	elow:		

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required			Clarification/Response	
		The u	pdated payment schedule w	ith the revise	d distribution:	
		Paym	ents would be made as per tl	ne following	stages:	
		S. No.	Activity	Payments (% of Lump Sum Price)		
		1.	Submission of Inception Report	10		
		2.	Submission and acceptance of Draft feasibility and technocommercial analysis report with owner's comments to be incorporated in the final feasibility and technocommercial analysis report	50		
		3.	Submission and acceptance of Final feasibility and technocommercial analysis	30		

S. No	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required			Clarification/Response	
	•		report after incorporating comments			
		4.	Completion of assignment	10		
		Tot al	100		-	
144.	Request for date extension		est to extend bid submission est for 3 week extension		uery response/	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.
		corrig	endum			
145.	Clause 'F' Qualification Requirements, I. Eligibility Criteria	shall rand sein according to the	case of JV/Consortium, the report exceed two (02) and all the everally liable for the execution ordance with the terms & concument. Is sing the JV/Consortium line call expertise and aligns with the execution case of JV/Consortium, the report exceed three (03) and all everally liable for the execution ordance with the terms & concument.	he members ion of the enconditions member of members of the enconditions members of members of the members ion of the encondition of the enconditions are enconditions as a second transfer of the enconditions are encondi	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.	

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
146.	Inclusion of Limitation of Liability Cluase	The current clause imposes unlimited liability on JV/Consortium members, deterring participation and increasing financial risk. Limiting liability to the extent of consultancy fees aligns with industry standards, ensuring fair risk allocation while maintaining execution accountability. Limitation of liability: JV/Consortium will be liable limited upto the extent of consultation fees/ Bid value	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1. Liability of the Consultant shall be limited to the Awarded Contract Value.
147.	Request to include clause regarding JV/Consortium	JV/ Consortium should be an unincorporated JV on the letter head of lead member.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
148.	Clause 'G', Earnest Money Deposit	As per government MSME policies, EMD waivers support small enterprises. EMD waiver is requested based on inclusion of MSME cluases of Government of India	Relevant MSME rules and regulations are applicable.
149.	Clause1.C (Period of Engagement) Project Timeline extension	Due to the extensive nature of the project, we would like to inquire if it is possible to extend the project timeline to 8-9 months. This would ensure a feasible timeline for successful completion. Project timeline extension up to 8-9 months.	Please refer to the Amendment No. 1.
150.	Clause 1.I.b (Opening of Technical Bid) Bid Submission date extension	Given the comprehensive scope of the project, we kindly request a two-week extension for the bid submission deadline. This additional time would allow for a thorough and detailed understanding necessary for a strong proposal.	Bidder is requested to visit PFCCL website regarding Revised bid submission date.

S. No	Clause No. and Existing	Clarification required	Clarification/Response
	provision		
		2 week extension for bid-submission	
151.	Clause 1.A (ToR/Scope of Work) Is a detailed feasibility study required for each interconnection route, or is it limited to the 37 GW offshore wind project target?	As multiple interconnection routes are specified, the scope shall also vary accordingly. Clarification required on the scope of feasibility study.	Bidder to meet the requirement of e-RfP document.
152.	Clause 1.A.iii (Voltage Specifications) What are the voltage levels in kV to be looked at as a part of the scope?	As AC and DC voltage requirements would vary depending on the routes (offshore/interconnection) and also impact the manufacturing setups which would in turn affect the scope. Clarification needed on voltage range of cables to be considered for the setup of manufacturing units.	This is under Bidder's Scope.