Pre-bid queries/clarifications

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
1.	Clause No. 3 8.2.7, ii, (S. No. 1	"Experience in consultancy	Query:	Any documents which show payment has
	(a))	assignments in last 5 years (i.e.	As per the current	been made to the Prospective Bidder
		FY 2016-17 onwards) in any	clause the "evidence of	
		power sector utility in India.	stage payment(s)" will be	
		04 marks for each assignment	considered towards the	
			experience under these	
		To be evidenced by work	criteria. We request	
		completion certificate or any	<u> </u>	
		evidence of stage payment(s)	which documents will	
		excluding advance payment."	qualify as "evidence of	
2.	Clause No. 3 8.2.7, ii, (S. No. 1	"Experience in implementing	stage payment(s)".	
	(b))	software for Load Forecasting /		
		Energy Planning/ Scheduling /		
		Trade desk support involving		
		daily analysis of power trading		
		(procurement/sale) in any power		
		sector utility in India in last five		
		years (i.e. FY 2016-17 onwards)		
		04 marks for each assignment		
		To be evidenced by work		
		completion certificate or User		
		Acceptance Testing Certificate		

No.		Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		or any evidence of stage payment(s) excluding advance payment."		
3.	Clause No. 3 8.2.7, ii, (S. No. 3)	"Business Presentation Detailed business presentation including demonstration of the functionality of the services as per the given scope of work in RFP. Note:- PPT should include similar past experience, understanding of assignment, team to be deployed with experience, methodology etc., Understanding and demonstration of the Load Forecasting Solution including efficacy of weather parameters, Demonstration of Enterprise Dashboard, Efficacy and demonstration of the optimization services proposed to be deployed for power portfolio optimization and bid management software."	Query: We understand that the Business Presentation is not required to be submitted along with the bid. Request you to confirm. Ideally, the Business Presentation is to be made by only the qualified bidders before PFCCL (or its evaluation committee) and accordingly marks shall be awarded to the bidder as a part of the technical evaluation process. Further, the presentation also	Presentation to be submitted along with Bid Prospective Bidder has to give presentation either through VC or physical

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
4.	Clause No. 14, Terms of	"Remarks	requires the demonstration of the dashboard and the same is possible during the physical presentation only. Query:	No Change. As per tender Document.
	Payment (Remarks)	Payment to the firm will be released only after receipt of payment by PFCCL from JKPCL"	We request you to confirm the no. of days in which the payments will be released by JKPCL to PFCCL. We also request you to confirm after how many days the payments will be made to the consultant. We have noticed that there is huge payment risk as well as delay in payments involved while receiving payments from JKPCL. Considering the payment risks from	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			JKPCL, the bidders will	
			be bound to load the	
			burden of working	
			capital in their price	
			bids.	
			Proposal:	
			In view of the above, we	
			suggest PFCCL to	
			charge an interest of	
			18% on the invoice	
			amount in case the	
			payment from JKPCL is	
			delayed beyond 30	
			days.	
			Similarly, PFCCL to	
			allow an interest of 18%	
			per annum on the	
			invoice amount of the	
			consultant in case the	
			payment from PFCCL is	
			delayed beyond 60 days	
			from the date of raising	
			the invoice.	
5.	Clause No. 8.7, iii	"iii. Penalty shall be applicable	Proposed Clause:	No Change. As per tender Document.
		on the Consultancy Fee cost		

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		equated in equal monthly	iii. Penalty shall be	
		instalments for a period of 36	applicable on the	
		months. Accordingly, the	Software Consultancy	
		deduction shall be applicable as	Fee cost equated in	
		per below provisions of the	equal monthly	
		specific month and deducted	instalments for a period	
		from the monthly invoice of	of 36 months.	
		PFCCL."	Accordingly, the	
			deduction shall be	
			applicable as per below	
			provisions of the	
			specific month and	
			deducted from the	
			monthly invoice of	
			PFCCL.	
			Rationale:	
			Since the penalty clause	
			is provided to ensure	
			accuracy in the load	
			forecasting, we suggest	
			that the penalty shall be	
			imposed on the	
			Software fee only and	
			not on the consultancy	
			fee. This will also push	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
6.	Clause No. 9	"9.0 INCENTIVE:	the bidders to implement the most efficient and reliable forecasting solutions for JKPCL. Proposal:	No Change. As per tender Document.
		PFCCL and firm will mutually decide the amount of incentive on achievement of performance standards."	We request PFCCL to provide the Incentive mechanism during the bidding stage (in the tender document) itself, so that the bidders can estimate the incentive amount which will be enable them to quote competitive price to PFCCL.	The Change 120 per tenter 2 dealers.
7.	Clause No. 17, Other Terms & Conditions, (f)	"f. In case the performance of the proposed team member(s) is not satisfactory, the Firm will be asked to change/replace the team member(s) within three (03) days of receipt of such request	Proposed Clause:f.Incasetheperformanceoftheproposedteammember(s)isnotsatisfactory,theFirmwillbeaskedto	member(s) is not satisfactory, the Firm will be asked to change/ replace the team member(s) within two (2) weeks of receipt of such request from PFCCL with a member acceptable to

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		from PFCCL with a member acceptable to PFCCL."	change/replace the team member(s) within thirty (30) three (03) days of receipt of such request from PFCCL with a member acceptable to PFCCL. Rationale:	Further, if there is any change in the team composition, then the same should be informed to PFCCL upfront and can only be done with consent of PFCCL.
			It is very difficult to replace any team member within 3 days, we request you to allow atleast 30 days' time to replace any team members.	
8.	Extension		To extend the last date of submission of the bid by at least 10 days.	a) Start Bid January 19,2022 Date & from 1800 hrs Time (IST) b) Close Bid February 10, 2022, Date & till 1500 hrs (IST) Time c) TOE Start February 10, 2022, Time till 1530 hrs (IST)

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply	
				d) Financial To be intimated Bid to qualified Opening bidders	
9.	Eligibility Criteria	The Bidder shall have a Minimum Average Annual Turnover (MAAT) of Rs.20 (Twenty) Crores considering the last three financial years (FY 2018-19, FY 2019- 20 and FY 2020-21). The Bidder shall submit Certificate from the statutory auditor/ audited Balance sheet and P&L account.	Kindly reduce the criteria to 3 (Three) Crores as it creates challenges for new firms to showcase its capabilities.	No Change. As per tender Document.	
10.	Financials		Kindly allow the bidder to submit the balance sheet of parent company as well.	Provisions are self-explanatory.	
11.	Payment Terms	Payment to the firm will be released only after receipt of payment by PFCCL from JKPCL	Kindly amend the clause as- Funds to be released monthly after successful verification	No Change. As per tender Document.	
12.	pt.(iv) under clause 3	The Bidder should have undertaken an assignment for providing short/	We request you to allow and consider past experience of bidder, it's	No Change. As per tender Document. Provisions are self-explanatory	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		medium/ long term energy	subsidiaries and it's	
		planning/ load or demand	associates for	
		forecasting/ Energy Portfolio	evaluation purpose.	
		Management to atleast 1	Also, if the bidder is a	
		power sector utility in India	power utility, then it's	
		in the last five years (i.e. FY	in-house experience	
		2016-17 onwards). Any	should be allowed.	
		ongoing projects of such		
		nature would also be		
		considered.		
10	(/) 1 1 2	T D: 11 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1	TT 1	N. Cl. A. (1 D. (
13.	pt.(v) under clause 3	The Bidder, its subsidiaries	The clause is restrictive	No Change. As per tender Document.
		and/or its associates should	in nature and restricts	
		not be having a valid power	participation of	
		trading license as per Indian	companies who inspite	
		Electricity Act 2003, as on	of having valid trading	
		date of Bid submission.	license might not have	
			any direct conflict.	
			Hence, suggested to	
			delete the clause.	
14.	pt.(vi) under clause 3	No consortium with any	Since, the project	No Change. As per tender Document.
		other firm(s) is allowed	requires deep domain	
			experience of Power	
			utility operations and	
			specific IT related	
			components we request	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			to allow consortium of	
			max. 2 companies for	
			participation.	
15.	pt.(v) under clause 3	The Bidder, its subsidiaries	The clause is restrictive	No Change. As per tender Document.
		and/or its associates should	in nature and restricts	
		not be having a valid power	participation of	
		trading license as per Indian	companies who in spite	
		Electricity Act 2003, as on	of having valid trading	
		date of Bid submission.	license might not have	
			any direct conflict.	
			Hence, suggested to	
			delete the clause.	
16.	Table-A	Maximum Marks	Is there any minimum	Provisions are self-explanatory
			score required for	
			qualification purpose?	
17.	Table-A	Experience in implementing	a) Tata Power is the	Provisions are self-explanatory
		software for Load	leading power utility in	
		Forecasting / Energy	India having	
		Planning/ Scheduling / Trade	distribution license in	
		desk support involving daily	Delhi, Orissa, Mumbai	
		analysis of power trading	and Ajmer. Tata Power	
		(procurement/sale) in any	has implemented	
		power sector utility in India	software for Load	
		in last five years (i.e. FY 2016-	Forecasting/ Energy	
		17 onwards).	Planning/ Scheduling	
			for its distribution	

S. No.	Reference		Clause a	ıs per th	ie RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		04	marks	for	each	license areas which ash	
		assig	nment			been developed in	
						house through its own	
						team of experts and the	
						same is also being	
						maintained through in	
						house team. So, we	
						request you to consider	
						in-house	
						implementations by	
						Power distribution	
						companies as relevant	
						experience against the	
						criteria.	
						b) Considering the fact	
						that very few	
						companies in India have	
						expertise in the specific	
						domain, very few	
						players would be able to	
						provide 5 project	
						experiences. Hence, in	
						order to maximise the	
						competition, we request	
						to revise the criteria	
						from 5 to 2 assignments	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			and suggest following	
			as marking basis:-	
			10 marks for each	
			assignment	
18.	pt.(i/a) under sub-clause 1.1.1	Intra Day Load Forecast:	Please clarify the	Provisions are self-explanatory
		Intraday load forecast on	mechanism available	
		rolling basis (in line with the	for monitoring real time	
		prevailing practices of	demand eg. SCADA,	
		utility)	ADMS etc.?	
19.	pt.(ii) under sub-clause 1.1.1	Medium Term Load Forecast	Please clarify if past	Provisions are self-explanatory
		For medium term forecast,	data for 5 years, in 15	
		apart from the load curve	mins. granularity shall	
		Firm would also generate the	be provided for the	
		load duration curve in order	same.	
		to analyse the duration for		
		which the load tend to		
		persist.		
20.	pt.(i) under sub-clause 1.5	Energy Accounting/	Actual energy	Provisions are self-explanatory
		Scheduling	consumption is the sum	1
		i. Energy calculations of all	of meter readings across	
		the contracts would be done	the boundaries of the	
		to cater to the different needs	discom.	
		of the JKPCL like knowing	(1) What is the current	
		their total energy	process of meter	
		consumption on a particular	readings for JKPCL?	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		date, verification for compensation applicability while downward revision of their schedule, etc.	(2) Whether there is any software for the same?(3) If yes, Whether the software is required to be integrated with the proposed solution.	
21.	pt.(ii) under sub-clause 1.6.1	Firm shall endeavour to integrate the data communication of SLDC and NRLDC.	From the word "endeavor" it is understood that, this is only a desire and not a mandatory requirement?	Provisions are self-explanatory
22.	sub-clause 1.6.2	Wide Area Monitoring	This is the function of SCADA/ ADMS. Kindly clarify the requirement from consultant?	Provisions are self-explanatory
23.	pt.(i) under sub-clause 1.6.3	To develop a database for storing all relevant information pertaining to Generators, OA consumers, Traders etc. Proper integration shall be ascertained w.r.t. IT system of JKPCL.	What are the existing IT systems of JKPCL? What is the integration requirement?	Bidder may confirm the same. However, the complete information would be provided, post selection of the successful bidder.

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
24.	sub-clause 1.6.4	Transmission Corridor	Information available	Bidder may confirm the same. However, the
		Availability	on various websites	complete information would be provided,
			where crawling	post selection of the successful bidder.
			mechanism can be	
			established, can only be	
			made available. Kindly	
			clarify.	
25.	sub-clause 1.6.5	Unscheduled Interchange	Please share details of	Provisions are self-explanatory
		(UI)	the risks envisaged	
			under the clause?	
26.	sub-clause 1.7	Management Dashboard	Formats of dashboards	Provisions are self-explanatory. Any change
			shall be decided in the	within 6 months of approval of UAT by
			kick off meeting. Any	JKPCL/ PFCCL shall not be taken as 'Change
			changes in the format	Request'.
			shall be dealt separately	
			through change request	
			mechanism	
27.	pt.(i) under sub-clause 1.9.1	RTM/ Intra-day Schedule	SCADA/ ADSM or any	Bidder may confirm the same. However, the
		Dispatch Model	other such mechanism	complete information would be provided,
			is required for real time	post selection of the successful bidder.
			monitoring and for	
			preparing intra day	
			forecast and schedule.	
			Whether any such	
			technology is available?	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
28.	clause 14	Terms of Payment-	To ease the cash flow	No Change. As per tender Document.
		Quarterly invoices	burdens on the	
			consultant, request to	
			make this a monthly	
			payment as salaries etc.	
			will have to be released	
			monthly. Otherwise the	
			interest cost shall be	
			built up in the quote.	
29.	clause 14	Terms of Payment- Payment	(1) Payment is	No Change. As per tender Document.
		to the firm will be released	dependent on amount	
		only after receipt of payment	released to PFCCL by	
		by PFCCL from JKPCL	JKPCL which poses a	
			risk on consultant even	
			if there's no fault.	
			Kindly remove this	
			clause.	
			(2) Also, no payment	
			security mechanism is	
			given. Can some	
			provision for	
			LC/Escrow etc.	
30.	clause 14	Software and Maintenance	Since the GO Live for	No Change. As per tender Document.
			the Project is 180 days,	
			the payment terms	
			should be modified to	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			(a) 90% at T+160 days	
			(b) 10% at T+ 360 days	
31.	pt. 8	We confirm that Contract	At page no. 23, CPG	CPG is 3% of the consultancy fee.
		Performance Guarantee for	amount is mentioned as	
		ten (10%) of the total	3% of the consultancy	
		consultancy fee in the form	fee. Kindly clarify?	
		of bank guarantee shall be		
		provided by us as per the		
		prescribed format in case of		
		placement of award.		
32.		Pre-Contract Integrity Pact	Is this to be submitted	Same is to be submitted along with the Bid
			along with bid, since it	
			requires signature from	
			PFCCL as well	
33.	pt.(i) under sub-clause 8.7	To ensure that the Deviation	Error percentage	No Change. As per tender Document.
		in Accuracy rate of load	defined here is very	
		forecasting doesn't exceeds	stringent. Also,	
		by more than 4% for intra-	accuracy depends on	
		day forecast.	the quality of past data	
			available with JKPCL. It	
			is requested to change	
			this to yearly targets of	
			7%, 6%, 5% respectively	
			for first, second & third	
			year.	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
34.	Table-3	Intra-Day Forecast Deduction Calculation	We request to consider the following:- (1) rework the table as per the MAPE (2) The % mentioned here are applicable on the consultancy fee only (line item 1) and not on	No Change. As per tender Document.
35.	pt. 9	INCENTIVE PFCCL and firm will mutually decide the amount of incentive on achievement of performance standards.	the full contract value We request you to clarify the applicable incentives beforehand?	No Change. As per tender Document.
36.	Clause-11	TERMINATION	The clauses are one- sided and request to make them bilateral for safeguarding interests of consultant as well.	No Change. As per tender Document.
37.	Clause-12	FORCE MAJEURE	Kindly include pandemic also as a potential event?	No Change. As per tender Document.
38.			Kindly allow consortium/ Joint	No Change. As per tender Document.

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			Venture for participation.	
39			Also request you to reduce the amount of Minimum Average Annual Turnover (MAAT) of Rs.20 (Twenty) Crores considering the last three financial years (FY 2018-19, FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21) in the eligibility criteria.	
40	Extension		extend the submission deadline by at least four (4) weeks.	e) Start Bid January 19,2022 Date & from 1800 hrs Time (IST) f) Close Bid February 10, 2022, Date & till 1500 hrs (IST) Time g) TOE Start February 10, 2022, Time till 1530 hrs (IST)

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale			Re	ply
41.	Clause 1.1.1.i	Intra-day, day-ahead and	It is understood that a 2	No	h) Chang	Financial Bid Opening ge. As per ten	To be intimated to qualified bidders der Document.
	Original Clause	week-ahead forecasts are provisioned for under the STLF.	hour ahead intra-day forecast is proposed under the project. In our current assignment, we are providing 16 to 24 intra-day forecasts to enable better close to real-time market participation. We would suggest to have a provision for up to 96 intra-day forecasts effective from the next time-block in order to enable efficient market participation especially in the RTM. The error computation for performance penalties (as per the Form 10, Contract Agreement) may still be				

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			retained at 2 hour ahead	
			intra-day forecasts.	
42.	Clause 1.1.1.i Original Clause	Parameters for STLF are enumerated.		Bidder to check the same.
			cycle, grid back down	
			details and special	
			events information	
			would be provided by	
			JKPCL. Kindly clarify.	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
43	Clause 1.1.2.i	Aggregation of all available	For short-term and	Provisions are self-explanatory
43	Clause 1.1.2.i Original Clause	Aggregation of all available sources of power shall be provided to the bidder.	-	Provisions are self-explanatory
			ahead).	

Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		Kindly suggest if such	
		details would be made	
		available to the	
		successful bidder.	
Clause 1.1.3	RE Forecast integration	J&K is planning to have	Provisions are self-explanatory
Original Clause			
Oliginal Clause		8	
		•	
		integration enorts.	
		We would request the	
		O	
		, 1	
		-	
		S	
		U	
		as well as RE Asset	
		Owners / Developers in	
		1)	
		abroad.	
		Demand forecast alone	
		won't help optimize the	
		power procurement if	
		S	
		Clause 1.1.3 RE Forecast integration	Reference Clause as per the RfP Query/Rationale Kindly suggest if such details would be made available to the successful bidder. Clause 1.1.3 Original Clause RE Forecast integration J&K is planning to have a sizable RE capacity in its region, which would require substantial grid integration efforts. We would request the tender inviting authority to provide special consideration to the Bidder having a robust RE grid integration experience with TRANSCOs / TSOs as well as RE Asset Owners / Developers in India and possibly abroad. Demand forecast alone won't help optimize the power procurement if

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			impact it could carry on the grid operations and market participation.	
			Further, we would like to highlight from our experience in managing	
			REMCs with over 65GW of capacity across India and a RE	
			developer portfolio of over 20GW, intra-day variations in RE are	
			significant and to manage requiring close	
			to real-time Merit Order Dispatch (MOD) including various real-	
			time constraints, a very sound and proven	
			optimisation + automation experience is desirable	
45.	Clause 6.1 & Table B - Team Composition	Experience of Project Manager and Domain Expert.	Given the reasoning in comments 3 and 4	No Change. As per tender Document.
	Original Clause		above, we would request to accommodate	

S. No.	ce Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
	Project Manager: Minimum Experience of 8 Years in working with Power Sector Utilities. The power sector expert should have executed, managed at least 3 projects in the area of power distribution, power generation/ regulatory load forecasting/ energy portfolio management/ power purchase/sale in last 8 years Domain Expert: B.Tech/MCA/MBA/ CA or equivalent Experience in Demand/ Supply Forecasting, Energy Planning & Optimization/ Regulatory, Power markets, Energy Trading Strategy design & Energy Portfolio Risk Management.	market experience to enable deployment of better resources for the project. We are proposing a small change as below.	No Change. As per tender Document.
		B.Tech/MCA/MBA/ CA	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			or equivalent Experience in Demand/ Supply/RE Forecasting, Energy Planning & Optimization/ Regulatory, Power markets, Energy Trading Strategy design, scheduling/open- access/DSM tools based technology deployment & Energy Portfolio Risk Management. Similar changes may be incorporated in the TABLE-B (page no 21 of 65) as well for the evaluation.	
46.	Clause TABLE - A - Technical Evaluation Original Clause	Relevant Experience (a) Experience in consultancy assignments in last 5 years (i.e. FY 2016-17	We believe that apart from "consulting assignments" due consideration is required to be provided to the technology delivery experience as the core requirements under this tender	No Change. As per tender Document.

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		onwards) in any power sector utility in India. (04 marks for each assignment). Experience in implementing software for Load Forecasting / Energy Planning/ Scheduling / Trade desk support involving daily analysis of power trading (procurement/sale) in any power sector utility in India in last five years (i.e. FY 2016-17 onwards)	demands - accurate weather forecasting, accurate demand forecasting, very robust and reliable demand-supply optimization tools, and large scale decision support automation. We would propose the following small changes to accommodate better skills and past experience to justify the scope under this project. (a) Experience in consultancy assignments or delivering grid management automation tools (demand/RE forecasting/sched uling application/DSM application/openaccess applications/powe r portfolio	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			optimisation	
			applications)in	
			last 5 years (i.e. FY	
			2016-17 onwards)	
			in any power	
			sector utility in	
			India. (04 marks	
			for each	
			assignment).	
			(b) Experience in	
			implementing	
			software for	
			Load	
			Forecasting /	
			Energy	
			Planning/	
			Scheduling / RE	
			Forecasting /	
			Dispatch	
			Optimisation /	
			Trade desk	
			support	
			involving daily	
			analysis of	
			power trading	
			(procurement/sa	
			le) in any power	
			sector utility in	
			India in last five	
			years (i.e. FY	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			2016-17 onwards) We would also like to clarify if the sign "/" means "or" in the para above.	
47.	Clause 1.7.1.k Original Clause	System should be able to capture each revision from NLDC and/or any RLDCs as per the case may be.	Does this imply that web-automation tools would be deployed to capture the information from NLDC/RLDCs in close to real-time? If so, we would like to highlight that NLDC and RLDCs follow strict IP tracking practice and IPs pinging the website	No Change. As per tender Document.
			and scrapping the information at a routine frequency typically get blocked. In order to automate information extraction from NLDC and RLDC, whitelisting of the JKPCL appointed authorised IPs would be required. Would JKPCL	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			support such coordination with RLDCs and NLDC? Kindly confirm.	
48.	Clause 1.8.2 Original Clause	The said international programme shall be limited to 5 officials from JKPCL along with officials from PFCCL. Total duration of the programme shall be limited to 7 days (including journey).	Does this mean 5 officials including officials from PFCCL? Kindly clarify. The word "along with" suggests that there could be an additional number of officials from PFCCL. If that's the case, you may please define the total number of such officials including PFCCL.	Provisions are self-explanatory.
49.	Additional Question	PX Membership of JKPCL.	Does JKPCL have a direct membership with IEX and PXIL? Or is it trading through some existing trader members at the power exchanges? The third power exchange is also expected to come up. Kindly clarify.	Bidder to check the same

		data exchange is required to be structured accordingly so that DAM/TAM/RTM	
		bidding formats can be designed accordingly. We would ideally recommend JKPCL to have a direct membership at all the power exchanges so that there is no dependency on intermediaries like power trading companies (and their incompetencies attached) in dealing with new technology frameworks like the one proposed under the	
Clause 2 i Elizibility Criteria	The Bidder should be		No Change. As per tender Document.
Original Clause	registered in India. Copies of PAN, GST, Certificate of	the scope and criticality of the project w.r.t public finances	140 Change. As per tenuer Document.
	Clause 3.i - Eligibility Criteria Original Clause	Priginal Clause registered in India. Copies of	frameworks like the one proposed under the tender as well as Clause 3.i - Eligibility Criteria The Bidder should be registered in India. Copies of PAN, GST, Certificate of PAN, GST, Certificate of the project w.r.t

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		Incorporation/registration must be enclosed.	the tender should be limited to Pvt. Ltd companies and Public Limited (by constitution) companies alone. Partnership firms or LLPs are typically created to avoid or have limited/ negligible corporate governance standards which are critical to ensure in projects like these.	
51.	Clause 3.ii - Eligibility Criteria Original Clause	The Bidder must have undertaken at least 5 consultancy assignments in last 5 years (i.e. FY 2016-17 onwards) related to India Power Sector.	W.r.t the reasoning provided in the comments 3,4,5,6 above, we would request to accommodate a rather better skill set of the Bidder by changing this clause as proposed below. The Bidder must have undertaken at least 5 consultancy assignments or grid management automation tools (demand/ RE	No Change. As per tender Document.

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			forecasting/ scheduling application/ DSM application/ openaccess applications/ power portfolio optimisation applications) in last 5 years (i.e. FY 2016-17 onwards) related to the Indian Power Sector.	
			This would enable a better skill set among the bidders with right technical knowhow along with deep domain expertise in the power market.	
52.	Clause 3.iii - Eligibility Criteria Original Clause	The Bidder shall have a Minimum Average Annual Turnover (MAAT) of Rs.20 (Twenty) Crores considering the last three financial years (FY 2018-19, FY 2019- 20 and FY 2020-21). The Bidder shall submit Certificate from the statutory auditor/ audited	We are a technology company and have a net worth as on March 21 in excess of 20 Crore and MAAT of about 15 Crore (last 3 years). We would request PFC and JKPCL to reduce the MAAT	No Change. As per tender Document.

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
NO.		Balance sheet and P&L account.	requirements to 10 or 12.5 Crore so that technology firms like ours can also participate and offer the best possible technocommercial solution. We have required financial strength (including liquidity), an extremely qualified technology team working on AI, Automation, Grid management and Power Markets along with a proven past experience in delivering grid automation technology at a very large scale which can deliver the required solution to PFC and JKPCL.	
			Considering this, we would urge PFC and JKPCL to reduce the MAAT requirements to 12.5 Crore in order to	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			pave the way for technology companies like ours to participate in this tender.	
53	Clause 3.v - Eligibility Criteria Original Clause	Only sole bidder is allowed. No consortium with any other firm(s) is allowed.	If the consortium is not permitted, it is understood that the Bidder expected here would be qualified fully on a standalone basis to deliver the entire solution using its own team and resources. Keeping this in mind, we would like to know if the Bidder is allowed to "subcontract" any part of the project under this tender? Ideally, it should not be allowed keeping the spirit of the original clause of "no consortium". Kindly clarify. We would also propose that subcontracting of any sort (including	Provisions are self-explanatory

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			deploying on-site resources on a contractual basis) shall be strictly prohibited under this tender if the JV / consortium is not allowed. We have seen in the past that the consultancy firms would win the project, subcontract most of the work to third parties and deploy third-party pay-roll candidates at clients' sites. That would defeat the whole purpose of a project like this.	
54	Pre-Bid Meeting	We would like to take part in the pre-Bid meeting and have an opportunity to present our candidature and also the queries documented herein. Kindly let us know if the pre-Bid meeting would be planned before the final		No Pre-bid meeting is envisaged.

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
		bidding. We would like to participate.		
55.	Bidders with reputation risks.	Apart from not allowing traders or its associates/ subsidiaries, we would also propose that bidders with questionable repute shall also be not permitted to participate in this tender. The clause proposed below may be added which is also a standard clause being adopted by most of the PSUs of national repute.	The proprietor/ partners/ directors of the firm have never been a part of any blacklisted/debarred/ba nned firms or company in any unit of PFC/REC/Other PSUs / GOVT of India/ State Govts/ Quasi Govt. organizations. Also, the consultancy firms with questionable repute (past involvement in large scale corporate scams or other illegal activities) may be summarily banned from participating in the tender. Form-I, clause 3.10 may be amended suitably.	No Change. As per tender Document

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
56.	Bidding Timelines	Extension of Bidding Timelines	Given the clarifications sought and the rising	Important Dates:
			Covid Cases in India, 4th February is looking extremely difficult to comply with. We would request PFC and JKPCL to provide at least 2 weeks of bid preparation time once the pre-bid queries are answered. This will help Bidders to evaluate the tender terms and conditions carefully and bid suitably within the stipulated timeframe.	i) Start Bid January 19,2022 Date & from 1800 hrs Time (IST) j) Close Bid February 10, 2022, Date & till 1500 hrs (IST) Time k) TOE Start February 10, 2022, Time till 1530 hrs (IST) l) Financial To be intimated Bid to qualified Opening bidders
57	Other General Comment	Make in India and Atma Nirbhar Bharat Initiatives	The project involves a significant number of software modules. Preference may be given to MSMEs and India based organisations who could be supplying such software and consultancies. All other PSE's are providing such opportunities to MSMEs and Start-up	No Change. As per tender Document

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			like ours. We would be highly obliged if a similar priority is provided under this tender as well where MSMEs having a price/ overall score difference of up to 15% may be given a preference over non-MSME firms.	
58.	Form 10, Contract Agreement	Clause 8.7 Penalty and Incentives	Penalty of up to 20% based on 2 hour ahead intra-day forecast shall be applicable. The penalty applicable would be on what part of the contract value? Entire contract value? Consultancy Fees? Or the Software Module Charges? Kindly clarify. It is also understood that for monthly penalty calculations, MPE formula defined under 8.7.d will be used for	Provisions are self-explanatory
			arriving at monthly MPE and the penalty will be imposed based	

S. No.	Reference	Clause as per the RfP	Proposed Clause/ Query/ Rationale	Reply
			on the monthly MPE numbers. Kindly clarify. Like penalties, incentives for "good performance" also may be defined if possible so that Bidders can factor that into the pricing.	