S.No.	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarifications
1.	Technical LILO of both circuits of Pugalur – Pugalur (HVDC) 400 kV D/c line (with Quad Moose ACSR Conductor) at Karur PS	BPC to provide Co-ordinate of existing Pugalur – Pugalur (HVDC) 400 kV D/c line and also suggested tapping location for LILO	Requisite information will be provided at RfP Stage
2.	Technical Establishment of 5x500 MVA, 400/230 kV Karur Pooling Station	BPC to provide boundary limit for selection of SS land.	Requisite information will be provided at RfP Stage
3.	Technical Establishment of 5x500 MVA, 400/230 kV Karur Pooling Station	Please clarify whether Pooling Station at Karur will be AIS or GIS	Requisite information will be provided at RfP Stage
4.	 4.7 Format for Qualification Requirement B. Format for Technical Requirement I. (Signature and Stamp of statutory Auditors of Bidding Company/ Lead Member of Consortium) and II. Please provide details of computation of capital expenditure of projects duly certified by Statutory Auditor 	We request you to kindly clarify whether the Certificate for Technical Requirement can be certified by Statutory Auditor of the Technically Evaluated Entity (TEE) instead of Statutory Auditor of Bidding Company. Since the statutory auditors of the Bidding Company and TEE are different and the capital expenditure of TEE is required to be furnished and certified, we request you to allow the format for Technical Requirement and the supporting computation of capital expenditure to be certified by Statutory Auditor of TEE instead of Bidding Company.	The provisions of RfQ are amply clear and shall prevail.
5.	4.7 Format for Qualification Requirement C. Format for Technical & Financial Requirement – Relationship and Details of Equity Shareholding	We request you to kindly clarify whether the Certificate for Relationship and Details of Equity Shareholding can be certified by Statutory Auditor of the Technically Evaluated Entity (TEE) instead of Statutory Auditor of Bidding Company. Since the statutory auditors of the Bidding	The provisions of RfQ are amply clear and shall prevail.

S.No.	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarifications
S.No.	 Clause No. and Existing provision 4.9 Format of checklist for Response to RfQ submission requirements 1. Point no. 28 - An undertaking from the Whole-Time Director & the Statutory Auditor of the Bidding Company/Lead Member of the Consortium II. Point no. 28 (separate undertaking (on the letterhead of the TEE) for each project considered for meeting the technical Qualification Requirement, to be submitted) 	Company and TEE are different and the relationship and details of equity shareholding of Bidding Company with the company whose credentials is used for financial / technical criteria is to be certified, we request you to allow the certificate be certified by Statutory Auditor of TEE instead of Bidding Company. We request you to kindly clarify whether the undertakings from the Bidding Company and TEE can be certified by Statutory Auditor of the Technically Evaluated Entity (TEE) instead of Statutory Auditor of Bidding Company Since the statutory auditors of the Bidding Company and TEE are different and the following information pertaining to project considered for meeting the technical Qualification is required – 1. TEE has executed the project itself / owns not less than 26% of the	Clarifications Clarifications Clarifications
		shareholding in the project II. TEE has held such shareholding from the date of financial closure of the project till the time of commissioning/completion of such project. We request you to allow the bidder	
		undertaking and TEE undertaking pertinent to above information be certified by Statutory Auditor of TEE instead of Bidding Company.	
7.	RFQ Document, Introduction 2.B Page No 15 of 73	As per the RFP document issued for WRSS- 21A, Lakadia substation shall be conventional MS type with space provided for 8 numbers	Requisite information will be provided at RfP Stage

S.No.	Clause No. and Existing provision			Clarification required	Clarifications
	SI. No. 1.	ScopeoftheTransmissionSchemeSchemeEstablishmentof4x500MVA, 400/220 kVICTs atLakadia	Capacity /km 4x500MV A, 400/220k V 400kV ICT	400/220kV ICTs & 16 numbers 220kV line bays i.e. 24 numbers 220kV(AIS) bays in addition to TBC/BC bays as functional requirement. (Relevant pages from RFP attached as Annexure-I)Thus there is adequate space available for construction of entire 220kV switchyard with AIS bays. In view of above	
		PS (400kV AIS and 220kV GIS)	bay-4 (AIS) 220kV ICT bay- 4 (GIS) 220kV line bays - 7 (GIS)	220kV bays can be considered as AIS type (in place of GIS type) to reduce the cost of the project	
8.	RFQ Documents, General Extension of Lakadia PS			As Lakadia PS is under development by M/s WRSS XXI (A) TRANSCO LIMITED, following information related to Lakadia PS may be furnished: i. Location of Lakadia PS ii. Identified Area for present scope of work. Bidder needs the information for proper estimation.	Requisite information will be provided at RfP Stage
9.	RFQ Documents, General Extension of Lakadia PS			 Kindly provide following w.r.t. Lakadia PS I. Existing/planned SLD Drawing II. Existing/planned Plan Layout with Equipment & busbar connection. III. Existing/planned Section Layout IV. Existing/planned Earthmat Layout 	Requisite information will be provided at RfP Stage
				V. Existing/planned Structure Plan & Section Layout	

S.No.	Clause No. and Existing provision	Clarification required	Clarifications
		 VI. Existing/planned Cable Trench Layout VII. Existing/planned Outdoor Lighting Layout & Distribution diagram VIII. Existing/planned DSLP Layout IX. existing/planned Panel arrangement layout of Control Room building X. Existing/planned SAS 	
		 XI. Architecture diagram XII. Existing/planned LT Board drawings (ACDB, DCDB, MLDB & ELDB) XIII. Existing/planned Busbar CRP drawing Bidder needs the information for estimation. 	